

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,181	08/27/2003	Masayuki Ohta	259052003300	6464
25226 7590 12/20/2006 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			EXAMINER	
			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· .		2828	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

`	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/650,181	OHTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner w 1	Art Unit				
	Tod T. Van Roy	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/23	3/2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1.5 and 10-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,10-15</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1,5,10-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

Application/Control Number: 10/650,181

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The examiner acknowledges the amending of claims 1, 5 and 10.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Please see the enclosed enlarged fig.1 of Sugano in reference to the proceeding remarks.

The examiner makes note of the interpretation of "marker" as is being applied to the Sugano reference. The current rejection is denoting the 'C' space defined by the pattern of the electrode on the left or right side of the pattern to be the marker. This space, on the left hand side, has been shaded on the enlarged fig.1 of Sugano. This figure shows 2 complete markers on this row of devices (each has been shaded). This marker forms a periodical pattern that is continuous from one marker to the next. Sugano further describes in the abstract that the device can be cut in multiple resonator lengths (denoted as L in the figure), thus reading upon the newly added limitation that the devices are cut to have a length that is an integral multiple of the length of a marker. Finally, the marker meets the L/n limitation, as the marker length is L (not longer than the resonator) and n=1 (positive real number not smaller than 1).

Please see below for an updated rejection to the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,181

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugano (JP 63136687 A).

With respect to claim 1, Sugano discloses a method for manufacturing a semiconductor laser device, comprising the steps of: forming electrode patterns arranged in a plurality of rows (fig.1) extending in a first direction (top to bottom of figure) on an upper surface of a semiconductor wafer having at least a light emission layer (fig.3 #3), the electrode patterns having opposed to two edges extending in the first direction (left and right sides of dashed electrode patterns); cutting the resultant semiconductor wafer for a predetermined width to yield a plurality of semiconductor bars (abs.), and sectioning the semiconductor bars in desired sizes to form semiconductor laser devices each having a pair of cleavage surfaces (cleaved along dotted line), the surfaces being parallel to a second direction and distant from each other by a resonator length (abs., fig.1 L's), wherein the formed electrode patterns are continuous with each other in the first direction (fig.1), each electrode pattern including a series of markers

Art Unit: 2828

having a periodical pattern (markers are the "C' gaps in the electrode patterns, series of markers forms periodical pattern) which is continuous one marker to the next in the first direction, the markers being formed on one or both of the edges of the electrode patterns (each formed on an edge on either side of the electrode pattern), and a minimum unit of the periodical pattern has an overall length in the first direction equal to L/n and not greater than the resonator length (marker not greater than resonator length L), wherein L is the resonator length and n is a positive real number not smaller than one, the first direction being a direction along the resonator length, the second direction being perpendicular to the first direction and each laser device being cut or sectioned to have a length which is an integral multiple of the length of a marker (abs., marker is 1*L).

With respect to claim 5, Sugano discloses a semiconductor laser device, comprising: a semiconductor layer portion including at least a light emission layer (fig.3 #3) and a pair of cleavage surfaces the surfaces being parallel and distant from each other by a resonator length (fig.1 cleaved along dotted line); and an electrode pattern piece formed on an upper surface of the semiconductor layer portion (fig.1 dashed lines), the electrode pattern piece having opposed two first edges extending in a first direction (fig.1 top and bottom) and opposed two second edges extending in a second direction along the pair of cleavage surfaces (fig.1 left and right), wherein the two second edges come in contact with the pair of cleavage surfaces (fig.1 cleaved along dotted line, so in contact with the surface), each electrode pattern piece including a series of markers having a periodical pattern formed on one or both of the first edges

(markers are the "C' gaps in the electrode patterns, series of markers forms periodical pattern, each formed on an edge on either side of the electrode pattern), a minimum unit of the periodical pattern having an overall length in the resonator length direction equal to L/n and not greater than a resonator length, wherein L is the resonator length and n is a positive number not smaller than 1 (markers not greater than resonator length), the first direction being a direction along the resonator length, wherein the markers can be used to form laser chips of different resonator lengths.

With respect to claim 10, Sugano discloses the marker length is between 1/5 and 5 times the width (fig.1 length approx. 2 times the width).

With respect to claim 11, Sugano discloses the wafer is cut in predetermined widths to yield a plurality of semiconductor bars extending in the resonator length direction, and the plurality of semiconductor bars are cut in predetermined resonator lengths (fig.1, abs.)

With respect to claim 12, Sugano discloses the ability to cut the semiconductor bars into different resonator lengths, yielding a plurality of different semiconductor laser devices (abs.).

With respect to claim 13, Sugano discloses one of the semiconductor bars is cut in integral multiple lengths of the overall length of the marker (abs.).

Application/Control Number: 10/650,181

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugano in view of Ohbuchi (US 6611542).

With respect to claims 14-15, Sugano teaches the semiconductor laser device as outlined in the rejection to claim 5, but does not teach the markers to be shaped like the teeth of a saw or an isosceles triangle. Ohbuchi teaches a semiconductor laser device with electrode markers wherein it is taught that markers are of an isosceles triangle, or saw tooth, shape (fig.1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the rectangular markers of Goto with the isosceles, saw tooth, markers of Ohbuchi as a matter of engineering design choice, since the shape of the marker is not crucial, only that it has distinguishable dimensions (Ohbuchi, col.8 lines 12-14, col.3 lines 39-45).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tod T. Van Roy whose telephone number is (571)272-8447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TVR

MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINED Marker 1

.